

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.weylo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/633,992	08/04/2003	Charles R. Kirk	RPS920030035US1	5018
47052 7590 06/30/2008 SAWYER LAW GROUP LLP			EXAMINER	
PO BOX 51418			WINTER, JOHN M	
PALO ALTO, CA 94303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3685	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/30/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patent@sawyerlawgroup.com nikia@sawyerlawgroup.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/633 992 KIRK, CHARLES R. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit JOHN M. WINTER 3685 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 April 2008. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/633,992 Page 2

Art Unit: 3685

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121;

Claims 1-7 and 26 drawn to secure usage of digital content, classified in class 705

subclass 51.

Claims 8-25 are drawn to licensing digital content, classified in class 705

subclass 59.

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because invention I does not require a "hosting a web page and generating a license key" as in invention II.

Examiner notes that is would be a burden to search multiple inventions given their separate status in the art as noted above.

The requirement is deemed proper and therefore made FINAL.

Via paper filed on March 24, 2008 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the of Invention 1, claims 1-7 and 26. Affirmation of this election must be made by

Art Unit: 3685

applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 48-55 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claims 1-9 have been examined

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Mori et at (US Patent 7,107,454) in view of Official Notice.

As per claim 1,

Mori discloses a method for automatically verifying a signature during a retail payment transaction, the method comprising:

obtaining an electronic signature of a cardholder;

using an electronic signature verification process to automatically verify the electronic signature;(Abstract)

Official Notice is taken that "requiring a point-of-sale (POS) operator to manually verify the electronic signature when an exception occurs during the electronic signature verification process," is common and well known in prior art in reference to transaction protocols. It would

Application/Control Number: 10/633,992 Page 4

Art Unit: 3685

have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to

manually check a digital signature in order to prevent fraud by exposing fake ID's.

As per claim 2,

Mori discloses the method of claim 1, wherein using an electronic signature verification

process to automatically verify the electronic signature further comprises:

responsive to an account of the cardholder having a corresponding digital signature on file,

comparing the electronic signature to a the corresponding digital signature stored in the signature

database under an the account of the cardholder using a signature verification algorithm(Figure

5, 16)

As per claim 3,

Mori discloses the method of claim 1, wherein using an electronic signature verification

process to automatically verify the electronic signature comprises:

searching the a signature database by account number to determine if an account of the

cardholder has a corresponding digital signature on file. (Figure 5)

Claims 4-9 are not patentably distinct from claims 1-3 and are rejected for at least the

same reasons.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 3685

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to JOHN M. WINTER whose telephone number is (571)272-6713.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6, 1st Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Andrew Fischer can be reached on (571) 272-6779. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

IMW

/Jalatee Worjloh/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3685